

Consumer Complaint Records

Warrior Trading

Prepared by BestStockStrategy.com from records identified as FTC FOIA production 2021-00436. This PDF's layout, summaries, index, and editorial notes were prepared independently. It was not authored or endorsed by the Federal Trade Commission.

Complaint records identified as originating in the FTC Consumer Information System

February 22, 2018 – January 10, 2021

FOIA request no.	2021-00436
Records in this compilation	22 complaint records
Source dataset	Consumer Information System (CIS) Complaints
Subject company	Warrior Trading
Immediate source for this edition	Text export of FTC_Complaints_REDACTED_2021-00436.xlsx, worksheet "CIS Complaints"
Redaction status	FTC redaction markings retained as they appear in the source export; one additional publisher redaction in record #14, marked and logged
Edition prepared	September 9, 2026

A supporting evidence resource — not an FTC report and not proof of violations. These records contain unverified allegations submitted by members of the public. Their inclusion does not establish that any allegation is true, that any law was broken, or that 22 distinct people complained.

Overview of the Production

The figures below are editorial reading aids describing the 22 complaint records in this compilation. They are counts of what the record fields contain. They are not part of an FTC-authored report and are not findings.

Total complaint records	22
Date range of complaint records	February 22, 2018 to January 10, 2021
Distinct stated consumer locations	9 location labels; 3 records list no location
Records with a populated “amount paid” field	14 of 22
Records with a populated “Law Violation” field	11 of 22; 11 fields left blank
Contact type	Complaint (all 22 records)

How to read the recorded amounts. Individual “amount paid” values are retained with each record as recorded field values. They are not established losses and not verified payments to Warrior Trading. Repeated or related records may report the same payment. Record #9 describes possible impersonation. Structured field amounts sometimes differ from the amounts described in the narrative, and some narratives mention money even where the structured field is blank. For those reasons no total, range, or median of the recorded amounts is presented anywhere in this document.

Consumer Locations

Location	Complaint records
Illinois	5
California	3
Connecticut	3
Texas	3
Florida	1
Maryland	1
Montana	1
Ontario, Canada	1
Tennessee	1
Not stated in record	3

Categories Recorded in the “Law Violation” Field

These are complaint-record classifications, not determinations that a violation occurred. Some records contain multiple classifications, so category totals can exceed the number of classified records.

Recorded category	Records
Deception/Misrepresentation	9
Other (Note the Violation in the Comment Field)	3
CRFA	1
“Law Violation” field blank	11

Entries Recorded in the “Statute” Field

These field entries likewise do not establish that a statute was violated. Some records list more than one entry.

Statute description as recorded	Records
FTC Act Sec 5 (BCP)	8
Rule\Other	3
FTC Act Sec 5 (BC)	1
Consumer Review Fairness Act	1
“Statute” field blank	11

A Caution on Reading These Records

The narratives are unverified allegations submitted by members of the public. The FTC's Consumer Information System records what a consumer reported. An entry in it is not a finding, a charge, or a determination that any law was broken. The “Law Violation” and “Statute” fields reflect complaint-record categorization only.

Legal assertions inside a narrative are the submitter's assertions, not legal conclusions adopted by this compilation. The records alone do not establish the disposition of each dispute, and they do not corroborate the screenshots, emails, contracts, or other evidence that submitters mention.

Selected Reading Guide: Purchasing Concerns

The following are editorial topic links. They are not findings and not independent corroboration.

- Record #4: reported subscription-pricing confusion, with related records #5, #6, #7, and #8. These may concern overlapping events rather than five separate customer experiences.
- Record #3: reported course-completion conditions for refunds. See also record #13.
- Record #12: reported negative-review removal and account closure.

Note on This Compilation

What this document is. This PDF is an independently prepared presentation of spreadsheet records. Its overview, index, formatting, and editorial notes are additions to the underlying records.

This edition was generated directly from a text export of the source worksheet rather than from a previously typeset PDF. Each narrative below is reproduced from the corresponding cell of that export, subject only to the entity decoding, line handling, and single marked redaction described here.

Presentation and Verification Notes

- **Record order and traceability.** Records are presented in chronological order, which is not the order they appear in the worksheet. Each record states its worksheet row number, and the index lists those rows, so any record can be traced back to the source. Record numbers #1-#22 are editorial reference numbers.
- **Narrative text.** The source cells store text with HTML entity encoding. Entities such as `'`, `"`, and `½` have been decoded to the apostrophe, quotation mark, and one-half symbol they represent. Line-break markers stored as `<br />` and embedded newlines are rendered as paragraph breaks. Runs of whitespace collapse in rendering. No other wording has been changed and nothing has been copyedited: original spelling, typography, and run-together sentences are left as recorded.
- **Encoding substitutions, verified.** The table below lists every character substitution made when decoding the source cells. The counts were recomputed from the source export for this edition and are reproduced only because they check out; sixteen of the twenty-two narratives were affected.

As stored in the source cell	Shown in this document as	Occurrences
<code>&#39;</code>	' (apostrophe)	39
<code>&lt; / &gt;</code>	< / > (angle brackets)	15 / 15
<code>&amp;</code>	& (ampersand)	7
<code>&quot;</code>	" (quotation mark)	6
<code>&#189;</code>	½ (one half)	1
<code>
</code>	a line break	15

- **Hyperlink artifacts in record #22.** The text export supplied for this edition rendered five bare URLs in record #22 as automatically generated links, wrapping each in bracket-and-parenthesis markup that is not present in the underlying record. That markup has been removed and the URLs appear as the consumer typed them, which is also how they appear in the source spreadsheet. No characters within the URLs were altered.
- **Blank fields.** Fields that are empty in the source are shown as “Not stated in record,” or as an em dash in the index.
- **Multi-value fields.** Several records store more than one classification or statute entry, separated in the source by a pipe character. These are shown on separate lines in the record

metadata and separated by semicolons in the index. Values such as Rule\0ther are reproduced in the form used in the source field.

- **Field whitespace.** A small number of source fields carry leading or trailing spaces: #8 (company name), #16 (representative name), #21 (company name). These are trimmed for display only; no other characters were altered.
- **Counts.** Every figure in the overview and classification tables is computed from the record data reproduced in this document, so the tables and the records cannot disagree.
- **Independent additions.** The cover language, attribution, overview, classification cautions, index, topic links, duplicate notes, the impersonation warning on record #9, the privacy notes, and all formatting are editorial additions by the publisher.

Corrections Applied in This Edition

This edition supersedes an earlier version of the compilation. The following changes were made to it:

- The cover no longer opens with an FTC banner line; it identifies the document as an independent compilation and names the preparer.
- The running header no longer presents the document as an FTC publication.
- Editorial references to “22 complaints” now read “22 complaint records.” No count of distinct complainants is asserted.
- An impersonation warning was added to record #9.
- The total, range, and median of stated amounts were removed from the overview. Individual recorded amounts are retained.
- “Records stating an amount paid” now reads “Records with a populated ‘amount paid’ field.”
- “Law Violations Coded by the FTC” was retitled and a classification caution now accompanies the table itself.
- Similarity percentages were removed from the duplicate table; the record pairs are retained without an unexplained metric.
- The description of the conversion was corrected, and unverifiable claims of exact spreadsheet preservation were removed.
- The order number in record #14 was redacted, marked, and logged.
- Each complaint record now begins on its own page, so a record and its editorial notes are never split away from each other.

Privacy Review and Publisher Redaction Log

The narratives were reviewed for direct identifiers and sensitive personal histories. FTC redaction markings, which appear in the source as b(6) in place of withheld personal details, are left in place exactly as recorded. The following additional redaction is an editorial change made by the publisher, not an FTC redaction:

Location	Additional redaction	Reason and marking
Record #14; worksheet row 15; "Order_Number" value inside the narrative	Order-number value removed	A transaction identifier that the FTC did not redact. Replaced in the narrative with a visible marker reading "[Publisher redaction: order number]." The original value is not reproduced anywhere in this document, including in this log.

Records #14, #15, and #16 contain detailed medical and recovery histories, and several other narratives describe personal financial circumstances. Those passages are retained so the allegations keep their context, and each affected record carries a note. Retaining them here is not a judgment that they are appropriate to republish in every other setting. Any further publisher redaction should be visibly marked and added to this log rather than made silently.

This review covers the supplied source export. It does not certify that every possible indirect identifier has been removed.

Possible Duplicate or Related Records

These records have overlapping narratives and may concern the same underlying events. Other records may also be related. The number of unique complainants has not been established.

Record pair	Recorded dates	Location	"Amount paid" field	Worksheet rows
#4 & #5	January 7, 2019	Illinois	\$785.00	Rows 3 and 16
#6 & #7	January 30, 2019	Illinois	Blank in both records	Rows 4 and 17
#10 & #11	March 16, 2019	Texas	\$347.90	Rows 7 and 14
#14 & #15	July 10, 2019 and July 11, 2019	Connecticut	\$897.00	Rows 15 and 11

These four pairs are offered as a reading aid. They are not a complete deduplication of the production. Records #4–#8 describe closely related Illinois subscription disputes, and records #14–#16 describe closely related Connecticut experiences involving recovery from a stroke; those groups may also concern overlapping events.

Both records in each listed pair remain separately presented, and no similarity percentages are used. These are editorial observations, not FTC determinations. They do not establish a count of 18 customers or any other number of distinct people.

Index of 22 Complaint Records

“Amount paid” is the structured field as recorded, not a verified loss. The classification column contains record categories, not findings that violations occurred.

No.	Date	Location	“Amount paid” field	“Law Violation” field	Row
1	February 22, 2018	Florida	\$483.00	—	13
2	April 17, 2018	Montana	—	—	12
3	April 27, 2018	Texas	\$4006.00	Other (Note the Violation in the Comment Field); Deception/Misrepresentation	24
4 *	January 7, 2019	Illinois	\$785.00	—	3
5 *	January 7, 2019	Illinois	\$785.00	—	16
6 *	January 30, 2019	Illinois	—	—	4
7 *	January 30, 2019	Illinois	—	—	17
8	February 13, 2019	Illinois	—	Deception/Misrepresentation	5
9 †	February 18, 2019	Tennessee	\$12000.00	Deception/Misrepresentation	6
10 *	March 16, 2019	Texas	\$347.90	—	7
11 *	March 16, 2019	Texas	\$347.90	—	14
12	March 28, 2019	California	\$5.00	CRFA	8
13	July 3, 2019	Maryland	\$3500.00	Deception/Misrepresentation	9
14 *	July 10, 2019	Connecticut	\$897.00	—	15
15 *	July 11, 2019	Connecticut	\$897.00	—	11
16	July 14, 2019	Connecticut	\$900.00	Deception/Misrepresentation	10
17	April 22, 2020	California	\$3497.00	Deception/Misrepresentation	18
18	August 4, 2020	California	—	—	20
19	August 18, 2020	—	\$3500.00	Other (Note the Violation in the Comment Field); Deception/Misrepresentation	19
20	October 31, 2020	Ontario, Canada	—	Deception/Misrepresentation	21
21	December 22, 2020	—	—	Other (Note the Violation in the Comment Field)	22
22	January 10, 2021	—	—	Deception/Misrepresentation	23

An em dash indicates a field that is blank in the source. An asterisk identifies a record in one of the four listed overlapping pairs; other records may also be related. A dagger marks record #9, which describes possible impersonation and should not be treated as a verified payment to Warrior Trading.

The Complaint Records

All 22 complaint records follow in chronological order, each beginning on a new page. Each narrative is reproduced from its source cell, with the entity decoding and line handling described earlier and with the marked publisher redaction in record #14.

Every narrative below is an unverified public allegation. Editorial notes are visually separated from the narratives. The company and representative fields are values recorded in the complaint; they are not independent verification of identity, authorization, receipt of funds, or liability.

Complaint record #1

February 22, 2018

Consumer location	Florida	"Amount paid" field	\$483.00
Company name as recorded	Warrior Trading	Company representative field	Ross Cameron
"Law Violation" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>	"Statute" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>
Contact type	Complaint	Source row	Row 13 of the worksheet

CONSUMER STATEMENT — SOURCE RECORD

I signed up for their chat room for trading which was offered as an annual fee of \$583. This is where I should have been least of using the service. They offered no month to month or else I would have signed up. I used the chat room on and off for 2 to 3 weeks and during my use the service would cut out and the presenter would be gone. They said this happens as the internet connection on their end goes out from time to time. Well, when trying to follow trades you have seconds to make a decision on whether to make a trade or not. I gave it the benefit of the doubt and it happened multiple times. I had enough and was displeased with the service and requested a pro-rated refund. They said their monthly membership fee is \$199. Now, I figured they do this to curtail people from requesting a refund and is quite a scammy in my opinion. I was not happy and to be honest this is down right wrong. They offer a service which I am still technically paying for but they locked me out of my account. How can you take someone's money and do that. Product_Or_Service: Warrior trading chat service Order_Number: b(6) --- Additional Comments: DesiredSettlementID: Other (requires explanation) I am willing to work out a refund of \$100 off the \$583 as this would be more than fair enough.

Complaint record #2

April 17, 2018

Consumer location	Montana	"Amount paid" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>
Company name as recorded	Warrior Trading, Inc.	Company representative field	<i>Not stated in record</i>
"Law Violation" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>	"Statute" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>
Contact type	Complaint	Source row	Row 12 of the worksheet

CONSUMER STATEMENT — SOURCE RECORD

Note: C is for consumer and R is for merchant. --- Ross Cameron is running a trading business that doesn't respond to their consumers. I have emailed them multiple times in request for information regarding the product I bought. The product has a money back guarantee that seems to be fake. Ross sends out daily emails with fraudulent information. From everything I have researched he will not follow through with his promises. I believe his product is a scam for his profit. I can provide all emails I have sent and receipts for products purchased. Hopefully we can find a way to address this problem. The business model Warrior Trading provide is strictly profit with a fake product. False advertising is Ross Cameron's business model. I'm sure I won't get my money back but feel as if warrior Trading should be investigated for fraud. --- Complaint Status: Closed

Complaint record #3

April 27, 2018

Consumer location	Texas	"Amount paid" field	\$4006.00
Company name as recorded	Warrior Trading	Company representative field	Ross Cameron
"Law Violation" field	Other (Note the Violation in the Comment Field) Deception/Misrepresentation	"Statute" field	Rule\Other FTC Act Sec 5 (BCP)
Contact type	Complaint	Source row	Row 24 of the worksheet

CONSUMER STATEMENT — SOURCE RECORD

I am writing this because I believe I was defrauded by a company called Warrior Trading. On 02/19/2018 I paid \$3,009.30 for an educational product that included online classes, interactive materials, and practice platforms for learning how to trade stocks (day-trade). At that same time I paid for one year of access to their online community in the amount of \$997.00 (which I believe was offered at ½ price if I purchased at that time). I purchased this product because it appeared that Warrior Trading had a good reputation online and offered a satisfaction guarantee. After gaining access to their systems I did not feel comfortable continuing. Everything I tried to do on their site, after paying and signing in, still appeared to be geared at getting people to buy the product. It's like the fact that I already paid didn't matter. The site wasn't designed for that. Every page I went to had pop-ups trying to get me to buy what I already bought. I realized this was not in good faith. It's like the site was pointless once they had your money. I decided to request a refund. They responded and said they required, and I had agreed, to complete the first section of the training materials (four modules). I looked at their site again, saw that they did say this was a requirement for a refund, and began watching the videos. I came across Ross Cameron of Warrior Trading on YouTube as I was researching day-trading. Because I found him that way I was not suspicious. He seemed like a normal guy that day-traded and made an alright income. He argued that a lot of people lose their money doing it because they are greedy, aren't prepared, and don't have a good system for minimizing risk. As I listened, it made sense. Just try to be right half the time, don't hold the stocks too long and hope for huge returns, and be happy to make a little money and move on. Most importantly? Have a system. So, I begin watching the training videos so I can get my refund. There are hours of videos. Many hours. And there are quizzes at the ends of the modules. There are probably five hours of videos for each of the four modules. Plus, the first module (that I completed) had a "quiz" that was approximately 20 essay questions. It seems that there are 20 hours of pointless videos and 80 essay questions? Seriously, all he said the entire time, in many different ways, was "that's why you have to have a system." Here is the takeaway from hours of video: You have to have a system. You could watch an hour of him saying you have to have a system, hit mute for an hour, come back and he is still saying "And that's why you have to have a system." It never ended. And the quizzes just seemed like pointless questions. I was so angry at this point I could not continue to watch. I called Discover and asked for their help. They sent a form to Warrior Trading, which was filled out with all the legal BS you can imagine, and Discover denied my claim. In my opinion, the point of the product is twofold. Get people to give up if they request a refund and direct them to companies who offer day-trading

with no minimums but high fees. They said they had a satisfaction guarantee. I am not a lawyer, but I took some business law classes in college. I remember one thing very well: The law tends to err on the side of what a reasonable person would expect. Please help. Other-Other Update

Complaint record #4

January 7, 2019

Editorial note: This record and record #5 have overlapping narratives and may concern the same underlying events. Records #4-#8 may also be related. The number of unique complainants has not been established.

Consumer location	Illinois	"Amount paid" field	\$785.00
Company name as recorded	Warrior Trading	Company representative field	Ross Cameron
"Law Violation" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>	"Statute" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>
Contact type	Complaint	Source row	Row 3 of the worksheet

CONSUMER STATEMENT — SOURCE RECORD

On October 10, 2018 I received an email advertisement from Warrior Trading for an online day trading course for \$497, after signing up I noticed that the confirmation agreement that was emailed to me showed \$147 x4 which is actually \$588 and much more than \$497 as they advertised. However, I did not notice this until around the 20th of November 2018, my credit card was declined for a attempted purchase. I contact my credit card company to find that Warrior Trading charged my account \$785, which is even more than \$588. I attempted to log on to the Warrior Trading website, and my log was inoperative and there was a request for me to contact them. I contact the company via email to tell them, that I was charged more than what I agreed and I never got a chance to use the service yet, and to please grant me access and refund the additional charges. The company responded that the service was a monthly service and that I was charged more money because I did not cancel the service before the month allowed ended. I responded back that there was no information that was provided to me showing that the service I purchase was only for (1) month and there would be new fees to be added if I did not cancel. The company provided me with some information backing up what they mentioned but this was never shared with me prior to me signing up for the service. In conclusion, the company offered me to grant me access again for (2) months and I would still have to pay \$785 or they would keep the access closed and I wouldn't receive anything. --- Additional Comments: I would like a complete refund for my charges. I feel that I was completely mislead by Warrior Trading. They advertise money back guaranteed yet this is not true, they advertised the service for \$497, yet it was billed at \$588, and ended up charging me an extra \$197 and they canceled my access yet was still charging me for the month. There was nothing that was shown to me regarding this his being a monthly service and I never got a chance to use the service.

Complaint record #5

January 7, 2019

Editorial note: This record and record #4 have overlapping narratives and may concern the same underlying events. Records #4-#8 may also be related. The number of unique complainants has not been established.

Consumer location	Illinois	"Amount paid" field	\$785.00
Company name as recorded	Warrior Trading	Company representative field	Ross Cameron
"Law Violation" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>	"Statute" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>
Contact type	Complaint	Source row	Row 16 of the worksheet

CONSUMER STATEMENT — SOURCE RECORD

On October 10, 2018 I received an email advertisement from Warrior Trading for an online day trading course for \$497, after signing up I noticed that the confirmation agreement that was emailed to me showed \$147 x4 which is actually \$588 and much more than \$497 as they advertised. However, I did not notice this until around the 20th of November 2018, my credit card was declined for a attempted purchase. I contact my credit card company to find that Warrior Trading charged my account \$785, which is even more than \$588. I attempted to log on to the Warrior Trading website, and my log was inoperative and there was a request for me to contact them. I contact the company via email to tell them, that I was charged more than what I agreed and I never got a chance to use the service yet, and to please grant me access and refund the additional charges. The company responded that the service was a monthly service and that I was charged more money because I did not cancel the service before the month allowed ended. I responded back that there was no information that was provided to me showing that the service I purchase was only for (1) month and there would be new fees to be added if I did not cancel. The company provided me with some information backing up what they mentioned but this was never shared with me prior to me signing up for the service. In conclusion, the company offered me to grant me access again for (2) months and I would still have to pay \$785 or they would keep the access closed and I wouldn't receive anything. Product_Or_Service: Online Day trading course --- Additional Comments: DesiredSettlementID: Other (requires explanation) I would like a complete refund for my charges. I feel that I was completely misled by Warrior Trading. They advertise money back guaranteed yet this is not true, they advertised the service for \$497, yet it was billed at \$588, and ended up charging me an extra \$197 and they canceled my access yet was still charging me for the month. There was nothing that was shown to me regarding this his being a monthly service and I never got a chance to use the service.

Complaint record #6

January 30, 2019

Editorial note: This record and record #7 have overlapping narratives and may concern the same underlying events. Records #4-#8 may also be related. The number of unique complainants has not been established.

Consumer location	Illinois	"Amount paid" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>
Company name as recorded	Warrior Trading	Company representative field	Ross Cameron
"Law Violation" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>	"Statute" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>
Contact type	Complaint	Source row	Row 4 of the worksheet

CONSUMER STATEMENT — SOURCE RECORD

I purchased the Warrior Starter service from an email advertisement for (4) payment of \$147. I thought I was purchasing a self-taught internet day Trading class in which I could study at my own leisure without a time-frame to do so. I however became aware that the service provided (after I noticed an additional charge of \$197) was really a subscription service that lasted for (1) month and required me to cancel the subscription unless a fee of \$197 would be charged each month that I did not cancel. I've attempted a o go thru my bank and other channels to dispute these charges, yet Warrior Trading have provided evidence that was unfactual and or didn't pertain to the way that I purchased the service and what I was provided. Overall, I found that the advertisement and the browsewrap agreement with a link to the terms and conditions way below the ordering link is why I was misled. Legally, this type of agreement can not be enforced.

(1.) Inconspicuous browsewrap terms and conditions - Warrior Trading sent me an email that advertised an online day trading course for 4 payments of \$149. The hyperlink to purchase the service is way above the terms and conditions hyperlink that Warrior Trading strategically hid to make it unreasonably difficult to read. This type of browsewrap agreement can not legally be enforced because the agreement is inconspicuous, because I didn't have a reason to scroll below the ordering link to read it.

(2.) Order Page - The hyperlink sent me to an order portal that mentioned (4) payments of \$147 yet never mentioning when and if the service would deactivate, nor when the payments would be taken out of my account. The order page does not list the terms and conditions at all.

(3.) Order confirmation email - After the ordering of the service, I received an email confirming that I ordered the Warrior Starter service, and there is a listing of (4) payments of \$147, and no mention of when the service is scheduled to end, and no info about the \$197 charge. --- Additional Comments: I would like my entire \$785 back from Warrior Trading. They locked me out of the account and still charged me and I never used it.

They will respond with

(1.) a detailed description of Warrior Starter on their website: Yet I never seen this because my offer was sent to me via email so I had no reason to go to their site.

(2.) emails I sent: there way of pretending that they tried to compromise with me, but they only agreed to \$785 worth of service and not \$588, so this is no deal.

Complaint record #7

January 30, 2019

Editorial note: This record and record #6 have overlapping narratives and may concern the same underlying events. Records #4-#8 may also be related. The number of unique complainants has not been established.

Consumer location	Illinois	"Amount paid" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>
Company name as recorded	Warrior Trading	Company representative field	Ross Cameron
"Law Violation" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>	"Statute" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>
Contact type	Complaint	Source row	Row 17 of the worksheet

CONSUMER STATEMENT — SOURCE RECORD

I purchased the Warrior Starter service from an email advertisement for (4) payment of \$147. I thought I was purchasing a self-taught internet day Trading class in which I could study at my own leisure without a time-frame to do so. I however became aware that the service provided (after I noticed an additional charge of \$197) was really a subscription service that lasted for (1) month and required me to cancel the subscription unless a fee of \$197 would be charged each month that I did not cancel. I've attempted a o go thru my bank and other channels to dispute these charges, yet Warrior Trading have provided evidence that was unfactual and or didn't pertain to the way that I purchased the service and what I was provided. Overall, I found that the advertisement and the browsewrap agreement with a link to the terms and conditions way below the ordering link is why I was mislead. Legally, this type of agreement can not be enforced.(1.) Inconspicuous browsewrap terms and conditions - Warrior Trading sent me an email that advertised an online day trading course for 4 payments of \$149. The hyperlink to purchase the service is way above the terms and conditions hyperlink that Warrior Trading strategically hid to make it unreasonably difficult to read. This type of browsewrap agreement can not legally be enforced because the agreement is inconspicuous, because I didn't have a reason to scroll below the ordering link to read it.(2.) Order Page - The hyperlink sent me to an order portal that mentioned (4) payments of \$147 yet never mentioning when and if the service would deactivate, nor when the payments would be taken out of my account. The order page does not list the terms and conditions at all.(3.) Order confirmation email - After the ordering of the service, I received an email confirming that I ordered the Warrior Starter service, and there is a listing of (4) payments of \$147, and no mention of when the service is scheduled to end, and no info about the \$197 charge.Product_Or_Service: Warrior Trading Starter --- Additional Comments: DesiredSettlementID: Other (requires explanation)I would like my entire \$785 back from Warrior Trading. They locked me out of the account and still charged me and I never used it.They will respond with (1.) a detailed description of Warrior Starter on their website: Yet I never seen this because my offer was sent to me via email so I had no reason to go to their site.(2.) emails I sent: there way of pretending that they tried to compromise with me, but they only agreed to \$785 worth of service and not \$588, so this is no deal.

Complaint record #8

February 13, 2019

Editorial note: Records #4-#8 describe closely related Illinois subscription disputes and may concern overlapping events. They should not be assumed to represent five distinct complainants.

Consumer location	Illinois	"Amount paid" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>
Company name as recorded	Warrior Trading	Company representative field	<i>Not stated in record</i>
"Law Violation" field	Deception/Misrepresentation	"Statute" field	FTC Act Sec 5 (BC)
Contact type	Complaint	Source row	Row 5 of the worksheet

CONSUMER STATEMENT — SOURCE RECORD

The problem resides in Warrior Trading not disclosing that (the Warrior Starter day trading class) in which I purchased was a subscription service or an individual product, in the information that was provided to me. I was under the impression that this service was a individual product for the agreed amount of \$588. After being charged an additional \$197, I became aware that Warrior Trading sold me a subscription service that incurred an additional charge of \$197 if not canceled. I was completely blinded by this as none of the information at purchase described such a service. I immediately emailed a request for Warrior Trading to refund me, yet I was told via email that I could only be given the \$197 back and would be banned to take a class from them again- despite the fact that I was never able to verify if the class was operative, as the day that I attempted to use the service Warrior Trading deactivated my account. Furthermore, Warrior Trading explained that the terms and conditions of the class was all provided to me and they provided me all these things yet in actuality none of this was ever provided to me. After taking a more detailed look at the emails and the hyperlink advertisement that was used to entice me to purchase the class; I noticed that the description of the course in detail was found on a separate webpage with a hyperlink that was located way under the order button. According to Internet laws in order for a browsewrap agreement to be enforceable, the user must know the terms of the contract which I didn't. Furthermore, this inconspicuous browsewrap agreement was purposely located below the ordering link, where there would be no reason for users like me to scroll down to see it. Overall, I feel like this is one big scam! I tried disputing this with my credit card and Warrior Trading provided them a very clear reading of their terms and conditions which is found on their website but very inconspicuous on the email ads that I was provided. They deceived me and many others, by their systematic schemes, and I hope that you can stop them. Other-Other Update

Complaint record #9

February 18, 2019

Editorial note: This report describes a person using Ross Cameron's picture on Facebook Messenger. The narrative does not establish that Warrior Trading or Cameron received the money or authorized the contact. It should not be counted as a verified payment to Warrior Trading.

Consumer location	Tennessee	"Amount paid" field	\$12000.00
Company name as recorded	Warrior Trading	Company representative field	Ross Cameron
"Law Violation" field	Deception/Misrepresentation	"Statute" field	FTC Act Sec 5 (BCP)
Contact type	Complaint	Source row	Row 6 of the worksheet

CONSUMER STATEMENT — SOURCE RECORD

I was contacted by the perpetrator using Ross Cameron picture on Facebook messenger. The scammer was able to convince me to wire \$5000. The perpetrator text message me a image of an etrade account with my name and the \$5000.00 amount. Later \$7000 for the investing software i wired (he tried to collect \$10,000 for investing software). The wire information for the first transfer (\$5,000.00): Bank Address:b(6); Account name: b(6); Account number: b(6); Routing number: b(6); WIFT code:b(6); Type of account: b(6); Purpose of payment:b(6); zip code:b(6). wire information for the second transfer (\$7,000.00): Bank Name: b(6); Bank Address: b(6); Account number: b(6); Account name: b(6); account type: b(6); routing number: b(6); purpose of payment: b(6). !!!!Please Help Me Get my Funds Back!!!

Complaint record #10

March 16, 2019

Editorial note: This record and record #11 have overlapping narratives and may concern the same underlying events. The number of unique complainants has not been established.

Consumer location	Texas	"Amount paid" field	\$347.90
Company name as recorded	Warrior Trading	Company representative field	Ross Cameron
"Law Violation" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>	"Statute" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>
Contact type	Complaint	Source row	Row 7 of the worksheet

CONSUMER STATEMENT — SOURCE RECORD

This company mislead me in paying them \$347 for a 14 day trial period. I paid the \$347 and after 3 days in their course I decided to cancel out and contacted them with my cancellation. I asked for my refund and they said 'You are not entitled for a refund! They miss lead me!

Product_Or_Service: 14 day trial of Warrior Trading Course

Order_Number: b(6)

Account_Number: b(6) --- Additional Comments: DesiredSettlementID: Refund

I would like them to be more clear in their offers. They must be sure we the customers understand their offer. They must ask us if we understand that there will or will not be a refund. I felt very miss lead and they did not want to explain why they were not going to refund me my investment.

Complaint record #11

March 16, 2019

Editorial note: This record and record #10 have overlapping narratives and may concern the same underlying events. The number of unique complainants has not been established.

Consumer location	Texas	"Amount paid" field	\$347.90
Company name as recorded	Warrior Trading	Company representative field	Ross Cameron
"Law Violation" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>	"Statute" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>
Contact type	Complaint	Source row	Row 14 of the worksheet

CONSUMER STATEMENT — SOURCE RECORD

This company mislead me in paying them \$347 for a 14 day trial period. I paid the \$347 and after 3 days in their course I decided to cancel out and contacted them with my cancellation. I asked for my refund and they said 'You are not entitled for a refund! They miss lead me! Product_Or_Service: 14 day trial of Warrior Trading Course Order_Number: b(6) Account_Number: b(6) --- Additional Comments: DesiredSettlementID: Other (requires explanation) DesiredSettlementID: Refund I would like them to be more clear in their offers. They must be sure we the customers understand their offer. They must ask us if we understand that there will or will not be a refund. I felt very miss lead and they did not want to explain why they were not going to refund me my investment.

Complaint record #12

March 28, 2019

Consumer location	California	"Amount paid" field	\$5.00
Company name as recorded	Warrior Trading	Company representative field	Ross Cameron
"Law Violation" field	CRFA	"Statute" field	Consumer Review Fairness Act
Contact type	Complaint	Source row	Row 8 of the worksheet

CONSUMER STATEMENT — SOURCE RECORD

Recently, I posted an online review regarding my experience with a company named Warrior Trading. The company is selling a home based business opportunity and is a frequent advertiser on YouTube and Google. The business opportunity claims that a person can learn the supposed secrets of day trading penny stocks from their "Trading University" which costs \$10,000 per year. I purchased a membership and discovered that the service was an online scam and materially misrepresented earnings. I then went to TrustPilot.com and wrote an honest review of my experience. I then received an email that I had violated the Terms of Service Contract and that within the terms of service agreement, I was banned from writing anything derogatory about the company (I have screenshots) My account was then 'shut down' and my online review was removed. Eventually, I began to read other message boards where others were having the same frustration, "reviews would be removed if negative" and accounts would be terminated. The company claims that 98% of their reviews are 4 or 5 stars. And that people should base their purchase decisions based upon the positive reviews on Trust Pilot. It is obvious that this company is breaking the Consumer Review Fairness Act with this behavior. I have screenshots and emails saved from numerous parties. This is not a lone incident. I was so frustrated at this experience that I contacted a local attorney about filing a lawsuit stating false advertising and fraudulent inducement. However, my attorney then discovered that only the FTC can take action under the Consumer Review Fairness Act. Thus far, I have accumulated numerous statements from various parties, located all over the United States. This experience has been frustrating. But I am determined that something be done. I am requesting that you interview me, objectively verifying my evidence, and if warranted to take action. It is simply not fair when a company with a massive advertising budget, over 500k subscribers, can systematically build a 'review' stream of nearly 900 four or five star reviews, and unfairly delete nearly all of the negative reviews. I am requesting that action be taken. Other-Other Update

Complaint record #13

July 3, 2019

Consumer location	Maryland	"Amount paid" field	\$3500.00
Company name as recorded	Warrior Trading	Company representative field	<i>Not stated in record</i>
"Law Violation" field	Deception/Misrepresentation	"Statute" field	FTC Act Sec 5 (BCP)
Contact type	Complaint	Source row	Row 9 of the worksheet

CONSUMER STATEMENT — SOURCE RECORD

Consumer purchased a course online called Warrior Trading . Consumer came across a problem getting into the course and she contacted the phone number provided and it was an automated system she did not speak to anyone. Consumer submitted a ticket and she was contacted and was told that they no longer had those services. Consumer was told it was a 14 day back money guarantee to get her refund but she needs to take the courses or they will not provide her with her money back. Consumer paid 3,500 dollars towards the course.

Complaint record #14

July 10, 2019

Editorial note: This record and record #15 have overlapping narratives and may concern the same underlying events. Record #16 may also be related. The number of unique complainants has not been established.

Publisher note: This narrative contains sensitive medical history. The order-number value has been removed and replaced with a visible publisher-redaction marker. This is an additional editorial redaction, not an FTC redaction. See the redaction log.

Consumer location	Connecticut	"Amount paid" field	\$897.00
Company name as recorded	Warrior Trading	Company representative field	Ross Cameron
"Law Violation" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>	"Statute" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>
Contact type	Complaint	Source row	Row 15 of the worksheet

CONSUMER STATEMENT — SOURCE RECORD, WITH MARKED PUBLISHER REDACTION

I joined warrior trading 3/28/19 after emailing Ross many times. I told Ross I had a stroke less than a year prior and wanted to try out his trading room. I was home all the time since my stroke and I wanted to trade again. Ross never offered me a free trial or a monthly service. After 3 months I was not getting anything from his small stock trading (further, Ross is only on his site for about one hour and he is gone! I lost alot of money. So I tried the large cap trading. It was apparent everyone there were taking classes and talking about where the next class was, who was meeting there, etc. I finally asked if I was on the wrong site since I dont attend any classes, and most was about things I didn't know. I never signed up for them. It became evident to me because I dont sign up for their (very expensive) classes, I was purposely ignored on the site as well. I now believe it was a tactic to get me to sign up for their classes to fit in with the rest on the siteor continue to get left out of conversations, etc. I was not getting any help on any site nor was I making any money thru anything they said to each other (which was mostly about their personal classes). I had a difficult time trying to get someone to help me and I finally found out how to email Ross to cancel my subscription. I asked them to refund me half \$897 I paid even though I was only on the warrior trading for 3 months. I immediately got an email stating Warrior Trading does not give any refunds!!! Ross did not tell me this when I signed up! I asked him for a free trial but he didn't allow me. I was told I signed a contract stating no refunds b ut I do not remember signing anything. I know my eyesight was still not good since it wasn't even a year I was operated on and lost my eyesight for 3 months! I know I would never willingly agree to join a year service for almost \$900. without first trialing it out, or being able to cancel any time should I not be able to trade, etc.. I think I should have been told this information before they just accepted my credit card payment. I believe warrior trading (ross) took advantage of my fragile state after struggling to recover after my stroke (brain surgery). What's worse, is I just read while cancelling this, that warrior trading does offer free trials and monthly payments but I was never offered any any of these options. I would never sign up for such an expensive program without first trying it out or without being able to cancel at any timeand lose most of my money!!! I don't

understand why they will not pro-rate my money and allow me to pay just for the months I used. I believe I was greatly taken advantage of due to my fragile state of mind due to my recent stroke/ brain surgery which left me blind for first 3 months and then still not able to see clearly. I blindly trusted Ross then due to his upbeat personality and his aggressive non-stop marketing emails that got sent to me every day. Thank you very much for hour help!Product_Or_Service: stock chat roomOrder_Number: **[Publisher redaction: order number]** --- Additional Comments: DesiredSettlementID: Other (requires explanation)I would greatly appreciate being reimbursing for the \$897.00 I paid. I feel the Warrior Trading grossly misled me from the start and I also lost alot of money because of them as well.

Complaint record #15

July 11, 2019

Editorial note: This record and record #14 have overlapping narratives and may concern the same underlying events. Record #16 may also be related. The number of unique complainants has not been established. This narrative contains sensitive medical history.

Consumer location	Connecticut	"Amount paid" field	\$897.00
Company name as recorded	Warrior Trading	Company representative field	Ross Cameron
"Law Violation" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>	"Statute" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>
Contact type	Complaint	Source row	Row 11 of the worksheet

CONSUMER STATEMENT — SOURCE RECORD

I joined warrior trading 3/28/19 after emailing Ross many times. I told Ross I had a stroke less than a year prior and wanted to try out his trading room. I was home all the time since my stroke and I wanted to trade again. Ross never offered me a free trial or a monthly service. After 3 months I was not getting anything from his small stock trading (further, Ross is only on his site for about one hour and he is gone! I lost alot of money. So I tried the large cap trading. It was apparent everyone there were taking classes and talking about where the next class was, who was meeting there, etc. I finally asked if I was on the wrong site since I dont attend any classes, and most was about things I didn't know. I never signed up for them. It became evident to me because I dont sign up for their (very expensive) classes, I was purposely ignored on the site as well. I now believe it was a tactic to get me to sign up for their classes to fit in with the rest on the siteor continue to get left out of conversations, etc. I was not getting any help on any site nor was I making any money thru anything they said to each other (which was mostly about their personal classes). I had a difficult time trying to get someone to help me and I finally found out how to email Ross to cancel my subscription. I asked them to refund me half \$897 I paid even though I was only on the warrior trading for 3 months. I immediately got an email stating Warrior Trading does not give any refunds!!! Ross did not tell me this when I signed up! I asked him for a free trial but he didn't allow me. I was told I signed a contract stating no refunds b ut I do not remember signing anything. I know my eyesight was still not good since it wasn't even a year I was operated on and lost my eyesight for 3 months! I know I would never willingly agree to join a year service for almost \$900. without first trialing it out, or being able to cancel any time should I not be able to trade, etc.. I think I should have been told this information before they just accepted my credit card payment. I believe warrior trading (ross) took advantage of my fragile state after struggling to recover after my stroke (brain surgery). What's worse, is I just read while cancelling this, that warrior trading does offer free trials and monthly payments but I was never offered any any of these options. I would never sign up for such an expensive program without first trying it out or without being able to cancel at any timeand lose most of my money!!! I don't understand why they will not pro-rate my money and allow me to pay just for the months I used. I believe I was greatly taken advantage of due to my fragile state of mind due to my recent stroke/ brain surgery which left me blind for first 3 months and then still not able to see clearly. I blindly trusted Ross then due to his upbeat personality and his aggressive non-stop marketing emails that

got sent to me every day --- Additional Comments: I would greatly appreciate being reimbursing for the \$897.00 I paid. I feel the Warrior Trading grossly misled me from the start and I also lost alot of money because of them as well.

Complaint record #16

July 14, 2019

Editorial note: Records #14-#16 describe closely related Connecticut experiences involving recovery from a stroke and may concern overlapping events. They should not be assumed to represent three distinct complainants. This narrative contains sensitive medical history.

Consumer location	Connecticut	"Amount paid" field	\$900.00
Company name as recorded	Warrior Trading	Company representative field	Ross Cameron
"Law Violation" field	Deception/Misrepresentation	"Statute" field	FTC Act Sec 5 (BCP)
Contact type	Complaint	Source row	Row 10 of the worksheet

CONSUMER STATEMENT — SOURCE RECORD

I had a massive stroke, brain surgery, and 3 week stay at the hospital and 3 month with several therapists and a nurse for 3 months afterwards. The stroke left me blind (cross-eyed) for 3 months, unable to walk, and limited function of my hands. I was dizzy all the time. It was less than a year from my stroke, but I was now able to see for short times up close. I began getting numerous emails each day from Warrior Ross owner of warrior trading. All showing Ross, upbeat and how he could get me rich quickly by trading with his proven techniques. Before my stroke I traded every day and I was eager to begin trading again and Ross's looked like a nice country boy. His emails were so convincing. Less than a year after my stroke, I decided to give Ross a try. I thought it would be slower paced for me. I was already on a trading site from before my stroke and I thought I would try Warrior Trading. Ross marketing emails were so convincing!! I emailed Ross and I explained, in explained, about my horrible stroke details (see attached email). After recently reading the email I sent Ross 3 months ago, I think it looks like it was written by a person who is not well and ready to trade..and certainly scalp trades as Ross claims he does (I was not told this either). Despite the email I just sent Ross of my severe stroke and disabilities, Ross quickly responded telling me to sign up. I recently discovered there was shorted time periods I could have signed up for but I did not know. Ross never informed me of anything else and he never said "no refunds"! I very quickly knew that Ross's trading room and scalp trading was not for me! I still move slowly, but I really wanted Warrior Trading to work out for me. I tried Warrior Trading large caps room and it was terrible. Again, the trading room was mostly beginners (if not all) and almost all people there were talking about their trading classes: when the next one will be, how they looked the one they just took, who plans to go to the next ones, where it will be, etc. it was ridiculous! I was completely ignored from anyone and I am guessing because I wasn't attending (paying) any very expensive trading classes. I was so frustrated and I finally called to cancel my membership. There was no telephone number to call. I eventually found a page where I could easily check "no refunds". I was on Warrior Trading for 3 months (despite barely using it) and emailed Ross for a refund. Customer service said I signed a disclaimer that said "no refunds". I don't remember seeing a disclaimer. I wouldn't sign anything (especially for a service I never even tried out). I went back to the sign up form and saw a button after putting in credit card info. I was able to check the box without it ever going to a disclaimer. After being in Ross's trading room, even an advanced trader would not be able to make money. I believe Ross is buying low volume

stocks, alerting his roomt to buy, the stock shoots up, then Ross quickly says “I was stopped out”, sending the stock quicklydown. Because the low priced plummetong stock has large spreads as well, these are beginner traders are getting a huge financial beating and they think they did something wrong. No one likes to lose, so many will quickly sign up for stock classes (spend more non-refundable money). Furthermore, I just discovered the people Ross uses in his email marketing adds (he claims they are students) are paid actors! Thank you!! Other-Other Update

Complaint record #17

April 22, 2020

Consumer location	California	"Amount paid" field	\$3497.00
Company name as recorded	Warrior Trading	Company representative field	Carina
"Law Violation" field	Deception/Misrepresentation	"Statute" field	FTC Act Sec 5 (BCP)
Contact type	Complaint	Source row	Row 18 of the worksheet

CONSUMER STATEMENT — SOURCE RECORD

I bought into Warrior trading with an offering of turning 500 dollars into 100 thousand in 45days. Work from home and trade with the guru and make a living from trading rather than 9-5 life was his catch. While on webinar i was very interested because its a dream come through wish. However by Monday the 20th of April 2020 I pondered why the clause to waive my right to a refund would be inserted with claims of an intial money back guarantee. I have being misled into false claims as all efforts to speak to live person proven abortive. if its not a falseclaim why would warrior trading ask to waive your right from a refund if all claims are well!!! how can i want to be finacially free and be held to entrapments like this ? my thought of being misguided has turn unto my ordeal. My quest has been to get a refund and part ways. I was directed to waive off my right to a refund which is the reason why i am here because the interface been used strongly prompted that . Only two days on my journey with warrior trading i have not physically spoken to anyone other than via emails correspondence. The unique characteristic is the intial no worries if you dont like it we give you your money back. Then lieu and leads to an entrapment because i have to pay the credit company for an item am not using. UPDATE 4/22/20: Consumer wants to know the next step. bkendall.

Complaint record #18

August 4, 2020

Consumer location	California	"Amount paid" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>
Company name as recorded	Warrior Trading	Company representative field	Carina Turchioe
"Law Violation" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>	"Statute" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>
Contact type	Complaint	Source row	Row 20 of the worksheet

CONSUMER STATEMENT — SOURCE RECORD

I was lured into Warrior Trading through it's misleading and fraudulent activities and lost thousands of dollars. Warrior Trading uses a massive advertising campaign to lure new traders into its program and chatroom with Ross Cameron. Ross Cameron teaches his fraudulent 'strategy' live to thousands of new traders each day by telling a room of thousands of traders when he is purchasing a stock that generally is very illiquid, meaning that it does not have a lot of shares available. New traders, like myself, practice his strategies and buy the stock which drives the price up and then he immediately sells his shares which drives the stock to drop in value when he announces to the chat that he 'sold.' Ross Cameron advertises that he earns hundreds of thousands of dollars in a single trade - which is solely based on stock manipulation and defrauding his thousands of students. --- Additional Comments: A refund of all the money I paid to Warrior Trading for this 'education.' I lost thousands of dollars on top of the money paid due to Ross Cameron's manipulation and fraudulent activity.

Complaint record #19

August 18, 2020

Consumer location	<i>Not stated in record</i>	"Amount paid" field	\$3500.00
Company name as recorded	Warrior Trading (Ross Cameron)	Company representative field	<i>Not stated in record</i>
"Law Violation" field	Other (Note the Violation in the Comment Field) Deception/Misrepresentation	"Statute" field	Rule\Other FTC Act Sec 5 (BCP)
Contact type	Complaint	Source row	Row 19 of the worksheet

CONSUMER STATEMENT — SOURCE RECORD

Warrior Trading is a complete scam. Ross pumps his "watch list" every morning on youtube and chat rooms, he has his followers buy stocks as he is selling them. Other-Other Update

Complaint record #20

October 31, 2020

Consumer location	Ontario, Canada	"Amount paid" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>
Company name as recorded	Warrior Trading	Company representative field	Ross Cameron
"Law Violation" field	Deception/Misrepresentation	"Statute" field	FTC Act Sec 5 (BCP)
Contact type	Complaint	Source row	Row 21 of the worksheet

CONSUMER STATEMENT — SOURCE RECORD

Ross Cameron operates a scam website called Warrior Trading. In its basic form, it offers 2 tiers of membership to exclusive Warrior Trading content, and chatrooms.

Tier 1: Warrior Starter Course Bundle \$997

Chat Room

Simulator

Tier 2: Warrior Pro Bundle (per year) \$5997

Warrior Pro Benefits:

Warrior Pro Masterclass Courses

Live Trading Chat Room

Paper Trading Simulator add-on available

Mentor Session Access

Customizable Stock Scanner

Complaint record #21

December 22, 2020

Consumer location	<i>Not stated in record</i>	"Amount paid" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>
Company name as recorded	Warrior Trading	Company representative field	Ross Cameron
"Law Violation" field	Other (Note the Violation in the Comment Field)	"Statute" field	Rule\Other
Contact type	Complaint	Source row	Row 22 of the worksheet

CONSUMER STATEMENT — SOURCE RECORD

I read online that FTC is going after Jason Bond and Raging Bull for stock trading fraud.

Ross Cameron does the same thing in greater deceit. He promises thousands dollars a day and many times trades stocks with low volume and once he says he is in the stock thousands of his paid subscribers rush into the stock and within seconds Ross has made thousands and the subscribers are stuck holding a decreased stock value. He shows off his hundreds of thousands of dollars gains in 1 day many times suckering in people to join his courses and will then lose all their money. Please look into if this is legal or not.

His website is warriortrading.com

Youtube it's under Warrior Trading with over 700,000 subscribers.

Complaint record #22

January 10, 2021

Consumer location	<i>Not stated in record</i>	"Amount paid" field	<i>Not stated in record</i>
Company name as recorded	WARRIOR TRADING	Company representative field	ROSS CAMERON
"Law Violation" field	Deception/Misrepresentation	"Statute" field	FTC Act Sec 5 (BCP)
Contact type	Complaint	Source row	Row 23 of the worksheet

CONSUMER STATEMENT — SOURCE RECORD

Ross Cameron founder of Warrior Trading has been running a highly deceptive online Stock Trading Scam, based on what can best be described as bogus stock trading returns claims. He had been posting daily youtube videos of his so call trading returns, ranging from \$5000 return per day to as high as over \$120,000 daily return. He uses this deceptive claims to market a stock trading seminar, which depending on the level of the seminar runs as high as \$5000.

Given the FTC recent enforcement action , Ross CameronWarrior Trading has begun deleting false tweets and youtube videos of his so call daily returns. His deceptive , immoral business practice and lack of ethics by costing some individuals their life savings is wrong. He should be immediately investigated, prosecuted and brought to justice.

link of a few of his pie in the sky claims -

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v0dNlGrmEbYE>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?vVtBXuxLiD7At105s>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?vLPLb2TDAzdUt1542s>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?vRt6Ihn3jGaUt166s>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?vC1Ann3P47a8>

Appendix: Withheld Row in the Source File

The first data row of the worksheet is withheld in full, with the same exemption marking in all ten columns. It is reproduced below as it appears in the source export.

Column	Value in row 2
Created Date	(b)(3)(21f)
Contact Type	(b)(3)(21f)
Consumer Address, State Name	(b)(3)(21f)
Company Normalized Name	(b)(3)(21f)
Company Rep First Name	(b)(3)(21f)
Company Rep Last Name	(b)(3)(21f)
Complaint Info Amount Paid Value	(b)(3)(21f)
Complaint Info Law Violation Description	(b)(3)(21f)
Complaint Info Statute Description	(b)(3)(21f)
Complaint Info Comments	(b)(3)(21f)

(b)(3)(21f) is an FTC withholding marking. It is presented here without any interpretation of the legal meaning or scope of the exemption. b(6), which appears inside several narratives, is the marking used where personal details were withheld from the text of a complaint.

Source Information

Underlying file	FTC_Complaints_REDACTED_2021-00436.xlsx
Worksheet	CIS Complaints
Source row structure	Row 1: column headers; row 2: withheld in full; rows 3–24: the 22 complaint records
Columns	The ten column labels listed in the table above
Immediate source for this edition	Text export of the worksheet supplied by the publisher
Additional publisher redaction	Record #14 order-number value; visibly marked and logged
Edition prepared	September 9, 2026

Underlying records identified as originating with the U.S. Federal Trade Commission, Consumer Information System, FOIA production 2021-00436. This is an independent compilation prepared by BestStockStrategy.com. It is not authored or endorsed by the Federal Trade Commission.

22 complaint records do not establish 22 violations, 22 distinct complainants, or any verified total of payments or losses.